# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the
Western District of Texas
Austin Division

United States of America	)
v.	)
	) Case No. 1:20-cr-00077-LY-2
Glen Richard Johnson, III (2)	)
Defendant	)

#### ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL

### Part I - Eligibility for Detention

Upon Motion of the Government attorney pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1), the Court held a detention hearing and found that detention is warranted. This order sets forth the Court's findings of fact and conclusions of law, as required by 18 U.S.C. § 3142(i), in addition to any other findings made at the hearing.

#### Part II - Analysis and Statement of the Reasons for Detention

- X A. Rebuttable Presumption Arises Under 18 U.S.C. § 3142(e)(3) (narcotics, firearm, other offenses): There is a rebuttable presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required and the safety of the community because there is probable cause to believe that the defendant committed one or more of the following offenses:
  - X (1) an offense for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed in the Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 801-904), the Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 951-971), or Chapter 705 of Title 46, U.S.C. (46 U.S.C. §§ 70501-70508); or
  - (2) an offense under 18 U.S.C. §§ 924(c).

#### B. Conclusions Regarding Applicability of Any Presumption Established Above

The presumption shifts to the defendant only the burden of producing rebutting evidence, not the burden of persuasion. *United States v. Hare*, 873 F.2d 796 (5th Cir. 1989). Even assuming the defendant has produced adequate rebutting evidence, the presumption remains a factor to be considered by the Court and "may be weighed in the evidentiary balance." *Id.* at 799.

## Part III - Analysis and Statement of the Reasons for Detention

After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g), the pretrial services report, and the evidence and arguments of counsel presented at the detention hearing, the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven:

- X By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of the community.
- <u>X</u> By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required.

In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following:	
Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong	
X Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted	
X Prior criminal history	
X Participation in criminal activity while on probation, parole, or supervision	
X History of violence or use of weapons	
X History of alcohol or substance abuse	
X Lack of stable employment	
Lack of stable residence	
Lack of financially responsible sureties	
Lack of significant community or family ties to this district	
Significant family or other ties outside the United States	
Lack of legal status in the United States	
Subject to removal or deportation after serving any period of incarceration	
Prior failure to appear in court as ordered	
Prior attempts to evade law enforcement	
Use of alias(es) or false documents	
☐ Background information unknown or unverified	
X Prior violations of probation, parole, or supervised release	
Other Reasons or Further Explanation:	
My decision is based on the identified factors. Mr. Johnson has a lengthy criminal record and was on probation for	
manufacturing/delivering a controlled substance at the time of the alleged instant offenses, demonstrating criminal activity	
while under supervision. In addition, Mr. Johnson attended the SMART 20-week Inpatient Substance Abuse Program while	
in state custody in 2019, but left the treatment center halfway through the program and was unsuccessfully discharged. The	
government has met its burden for detention.	
Part IV - Directions Regarding Detention	
The defendant is remanded to the custody of the Attorney General or to the Attorney General's designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal. The defendant must be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the United States or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility must deliver the defendant to a United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.	
$\sim$ 11	

Susan Hightower United States Magistrate Judge

Date:

March 13, 2020